

THE HONORABLE JOHN C. COUGHENOUR

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
JASON DOHERTY,  
  
Defendant.

CASE NO. CR19-0232-JCC  
  
ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on Defendant's motions to seal (Dkt. No. 110) and to proceed with sentencing by video conference (Dkt. No. 114). Having thoroughly considered the motions and the relevant record, the Court GRANTS the motions for the reasons explained herein.

**I. BACKGROUND**

In March 2020, pursuant to a plea agreement, Defendant pleaded guilty to one count of possession of heroin, methamphetamine, and cocaine with intent to distribute and one count of possession of methamphetamine with intent to distribute. (*See* Dkt. Nos. 1, 49, 51, 53.) The Court continued his sentencing hearing several times, most recently to January 27, 2021. (*See* Dkt. Nos. 60, 76, 84, 90, 108.) By order of Chief Judge Ricardo S. Martinez, all criminal in-person hearings scheduled to occur before March 31, 2021, have been continued. *See* W.D. Wash., General Order No. 18-20 at 2 (Dec. 30, 2020). Defendant is currently detained pending

1 sentencing. (*See* Dkt. No. 49.) He would like to proceed with his sentencing via videoconference  
2 to bring certainty to his future and allow him to plan accordingly. (Dkt. No 114 at 2.) Defendant  
3 also moves to seal a supplement to his sentencing memorandum because it contains sensitive  
4 information that should not be made public and cannot practically be redacted. (Dkt. No. 110 at  
5 1.)

## 6 **II. DISCUSSION**

7 Because of the health risks posed by the COVID-19 pandemic, the Court may conduct a  
8 felony sentencing hearing by video conference if the Court finds that the sentencing cannot be  
9 further delayed without serious harm to the interests of justice. *See* W.D. Wash., General Order  
10 No. 17-20 (Dec. 23, 2020), 04-20 (Mar. 30, 2020). Defendant has a strong interest in the speedy  
11 resolution of this matter, but in-person proceedings are unlikely to resume until at least March  
12 31, 2021. W.D. Wash., General Order No. 18-20 (Dec. 30, 2020). Absent a remote proceeding,  
13 Defendant cannot be sentenced for months, despite having entered guilty pleas long ago. Taking  
14 into account these circumstances, as well as Defendant's stated need for certainty in this matter,  
15 the Court FINDS that his sentencing cannot be further delayed without serious harm to the  
16 interests of justice.

17 The Court starts from the position that there is a strong presumption of public access to  
18 documents filed in criminal proceedings. *See United States v. Carpenter*, 923 F.3d 1172, 1178–  
19 79 (9th Cir. 2019). Documents may be sealed from the public only for compelling reasons that  
20 outweigh the public's interest in disclosure. *Id.* at 1179; *see United States v. Doe*, 870 F.3d 991,  
21 998 (9th Cir. 2017). Here, Defendant seeks to maintain under seal a supplement to his sentencing  
22 memorandum that cannot practically be redacted. (*See* Dkt. Nos. 110, 111.) Defendant's strong  
23 privacy interests in the information contained therein outweigh the public's right of access to this  
24 information. Accordingly, the Court FINDS that Defendant has demonstrated a compelling  
25 reason to seal that outweighs the public's interest in disclosure.  
26

1 **III. CONCLUSION**

2 For the foregoing reasons, the Court GRANTS Defendant's motion to conduct a remote  
3 sentencing hearing (Dkt. No. 114) and Defendant's motion to seal (Dkt. No. 110). The Court  
4 ORDERS that Defendant's sentencing proceed by video conference and DIRECTS the Clerk to  
5 maintain Docket Number 111 under seal.

6 DATED this 25th day of January 2021.

7  
8   
9

10 John C. Coughenour  
11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26